

The Philadelphia Experiment 2

The Philadelphia Experiment 2: Beyond the Urban Legend

The Philadelphia Experiment is one of the most enduring and controversial conspiracy theories of the 20th century. The story, which centers around a supposed US Navy attempt to render a warship invisible using electromagnetic fields, has spawned books, documentaries, and a feature film. But what about its rumored sequel? **The Philadelphia Experiment 2** is a lesser-known yet equally fascinating extension of the myth. This article will explore the origins of this mysterious follow-up, its connection to the Montauk Project, and the scientific and cultural implications that keep the legend alive. Whether you are a skeptic or a believer, the tale of the Philadelphia Experiment 2 invites a deep dive into the intersection of science, secrecy, and speculative history.

The Original Philadelphia Experiment: A Quick Recap

Before we examine the sequel, it is essential to understand the baseline story. According to popular accounts, in 1943, the US Navy conducted an experiment at the Philadelphia Naval Shipyard aboard the USS Eldridge (DE-173). The goal, allegedly, was to make the ship invisible to enemy radar by applying powerful electromagnetic fields. The story claims that the experiment succeeded beyond expectations, rendering the ship not only radar-invisible but also physically vanishing from sight, only to reappear in Norfolk, Virginia, over 200 miles away, before returning to Philadelphia. Crew members supposedly suffered severe side effects, including disorientation, insanity, and even being fused into the ship's hull. The tale was popularized in the 1970s by writers such as Morris K. Jessup and later by Carlos Allende (also known as Carl Allen), who claimed to have witnessed the event.

However, the US Navy has always denied that such an experiment took place. The official explanation is that the Eldridge was undergoing standard degaussing tests (which reduce magnetic signatures to protect against magnetic mines), and the entire story is a hoax. Despite this, the legend grew, and it set the stage for speculation about further, more secretive projects.

Introducing the Philadelphia Experiment 2

The Philadelphia Experiment 2 is not a single, well-documented event but rather a collection of stories that suggest the US Navy or other clandestine government agencies continued the research after the 1943 incident. One of the most prominent narratives involves a supposed second test conducted shortly after the original, possibly in 1944 or 1945. In this version, the technology is refined, but the results are even more catastrophic. Some accounts claim that the experiment was an attempt to achieve not just invisibility but outright teleportation. The goal was to move massive objects across space and time. According to these theories, the Philadelphia Experiment 2 was a stepping stone to the later, even more controversial Montauk Project.

It is important to note that there is no official documentation or credible evidence to support the existence of a second Philadelphia Experiment. The legend exists purely in the realms of alternative history and conspiracy literature. Yet, it has gained enough traction to inspire books, such as "The Philadelphia Experiment: Project Invisibility" by William L. Moore and Charles Berlitz, and the 1993 science-fiction film "Philadelphia Experiment II" (often stylized as "Philadelphia Experiment 2"). The film, starring Brad Johnson and Marjean Holden, explores the idea of a time-travel element added to the original invisibility technology. While the movie is a work of fiction, it has blurred the line between entertainment and myth for many enthusiasts.

The Montauk Connection: A Nexus of Conspiracy

Perhaps the most significant reason the Philadelphia Experiment 2 remains a topic of interest is its alleged connection to the **Montauk Project**. The Montauk Project is an even more elaborate conspiracy theory that claims the US government conducted secret experiments at Camp Hero in Montauk, New York, during the 1980s. These experiments supposedly involved mind control (linked to the CIA's MKUltra program), time travel, and further development of the electromagnetic technology from the Philadelphia Experiment. According to authors like Preston B. Nichols and Peter Moon, the Montauk Project was a direct continuation of the work started in Philadelphia. They claim that the second experiment in Philadelphia was a bridge to the more advanced capabilities achieved at Montauk.

Nichols' book, "The Montauk Project: Experiments in Time," suggests that after the initial Eldridge tests, the Navy realized the potential for manipulating time. The Philadelphia Experiment 2, in this narrative, was a step toward creating a "time tunnel" or "vortex" that could transport objects across decades. The theory posits that the same psychic and

electronic technologies were refined at Montauk, eventually leading to attempts to control the weather and even open doorways to other dimensions. While these ideas are largely dismissed by mainstream science, they have become a cornerstone of paranormal and ufology communities.

What Did the Philadelphia Experiment 2 Allegedly Involve?

Descriptions of the Philadelphia Experiment 2 vary depending on the source. Some common elements include:

- **Increased power levels:** The second experiment is said to have used significantly more energy than the first, possibly involving a large land-based facility rather than a ship at sea.
- **Time displacement:** Whereas the original experiment was mainly about radar invisibility and spatial displacement, the sequel allegedly added a temporal component, with the goal of sending objects forward or backward in time.
- **Human casualties:** Like the original, the sequel is rumored to have resulted in severe harm to personnel. Some stories tell of crew members being driven insane, others disappearing entirely, and a few returning with memories of other times.
- **Cover-up and black projects:** Believers argue that the results were so dangerous that the program was immediately classified and moved to a remote location—often identified as Montauk—to continue in secret.

Another version of the Philadelphia Experiment 2 involves a collaboration with Nikola Tesla. Tesla died in 1943, but his work on scalar waves and wireless energy transmission is often woven into the conspiracy. According to some accounts, the experiment built on Tesla's designs, which he had offered to the US Navy before his death. The sequel was meant to harness Tesla's concepts for scale teleportation, but the outcome was a disaster that created a permanent rift in space-time.

Skeptical Analysis and Debunking

From a rational viewpoint, the Philadelphia Experiment 2 is a classic example of how an urban legend can evolve and adapt. Skeptics point to several holes in the story:

1. **Lack of evidence:** No official Navy records, ship logs, or credible eyewitness accounts exist that mention a second experiment. Original claims from the 1950s and 1960s have been thoroughly debunked by researchers, including the late Robert A. Goerman and the Condon Committee.
2. **Physical impossibility:** The physics of electromagnetic invisible cloaking and teleportation as described violate known laws of physics. While scientists are making advances in meta-materials for invisibility, they are light-years away from the kind of macroscopic effect described in the myth.
3. **False memories and hoaxes:** The chief "witness" to the original experiment, Carl Allen, later admitted to fabricating parts of his story. No credible witness has come forward for the sequel.
4. **Influence of pop culture:** The 1993 film "Philadelphia Experiment II" is a science-fiction movie, not a documentary. Yet many people mistake its plot for factual history. The film's premise—that the experiment created a time rift and that a modern-day pilot must stop it—is pure Hollywood fantasy.

Nevertheless, the story persists because it taps into broader themes of government secrecy, the dangers of unchecked technology, and the human fascination with the unknown. The Philadelphia Experiment 2, like its predecessor, is a myth that speaks to our collective anxiety about the military-industrial complex and the ethical limits of science.

Cultural Impact and Legacy

Despite its lack of factual basis, the idea of a second Philadelphia Experiment has left a mark on popular culture. The 1993 film is perhaps the most recognizable reference. In the movie, a modern-day college professor (David Herdeg, played by Brad Johnson) discovers he is the son of a crew member from the original experiment. He must travel back in time using the same technology to prevent a Nazi plot. The film combines time travel, invisibility, and World War II history into a compelling narrative. While critically panned, it has achieved cult status among fans of conspiracy-based cinema.

Numerous websites, YouTube channels, and books continue to explore the possibility that the Philadelphia Experiment 2 was real. Some authors even claim to have accessed classified documents through Freedom of Information Act requests, though no such documents have ever been made public. The story also plays a significant role in the mythology of the Montauk Project, which itself has inspired books, video games, and TV shows like "Stranger Things." Indeed, the fictional Hawkins National Laboratory in "Stranger Things" borrows heavily from Montauk lore, which in turn borrowed from the Philadelphia Experiment.

Moreover, the concept of an experimental "second test" resonates with the historical pattern of US military research. Projects like the Manhattan Project were followed by nuclear testing, and there were indeed secret experiments involving radar stealth and electronic warfare during and after World War II. The line between fact and fiction becomes porous

The Philadelphia Experiment 2

when real technologies like radar-absorbing materials (used by the Allies to fool German radar) are discussed. The Philadelphia Experiment 2 myth, therefore, may be a distorted reflection of actual classified research that remains classified to this day.

The Role of Author Preacher and Researchers

Much of the credibility (or lack thereof) of the Philadelphia Experiment 2 stems from the work of a few key writers. Preston B. Nichols, an engineer and author, claims to have worked on the Montauk Project. His books present a detailed timeline linking the Philadelphia Experiment to Montauk, and he is often cited as a primary source for the sequel story. Nichols asserts that the second experiment occurred around 1943-1944 and that its success forced the moving of the project to Montauk. Critics point out that Nichols' background is unverifiable and that his revelations have changed over time. Similarly, Peter Moon, a co-author with Nichols, has written extensively about alien interactions and time travel, further blurring fact and fiction.

Another influential figure is John Hutchison, a Canadian inventor known for the "Hutchison Effect," which reportedly levitates and disintegrates metals using electromagnetic fields. Hutchison claims his experiments are a replica of the Philadelphia Experiment technology, though scientists have largely dismissed his work as fraudulent or misinterpreted. Nevertheless, Hutchison's fame adds another layer to the ongoing mythology.

Conclusion: The Enduring Fascination of the Philadelphia Experiment 2

The Philadelphia Experiment 2 remains a captivating, if unlikely, chapter in the annals of conspiracy theory. Whether you view it as a metaphor for the perils of technological hubris, a cautionary tale about government secrecy, or simply a well-crafted work of fiction, its persistence is a testament to the power of storytelling. There is no verified evidence that a second experiment ever took place, and the scientific community overwhelmingly rejects the possibility of such event. Yet, the idea continues to inspire creativity and curiosity. The Philadelphia Experiment 2 invites us to question what we know about history, science, and the limits of human experimentation. In a world where technology advances faster than our ethical frameworks, stories like these remind us to tread carefully—and to always check the sources. For now, the mystery remains unsolved, and that is exactly why it endures.