

Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke

Introduction: The Enduring Legacy of Locke's Revolutionary Text

When we think about the foundations of modern democracy, individual rights, and limited government, one name stands above nearly all others: John Locke. His **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** is not merely a historical artifact; it is a living document that continues to shape political discourse, constitutional law, and our understanding of human liberty. Published anonymously in 1689, the *Second Treatise* was written as a defence of the Glorious Revolution and a refutation of absolutist monarchy. Yet its arguments transcend that moment, offering a timeless blueprint for how political power should be constituted, exercised, and restrained.

Locke's work is often paired with his *First Treatise*, which dismantles Sir Robert Filmer's patriarchal theory of kingship. However, the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** is where he constructs his own positive theory of government. In its pages, Locke lays out a vision of natural rights, the social contract, the right to revolution, and the separation of powers—ideas that would later echo in the American Declaration of Independence and the constitutions of liberal democracies worldwide. To truly grasp the significance of this masterpiece, we must explore its core arguments, its historical context, and its enduring influence.

The Historical Backdrop: From Civil War to Glorious Revolution

To appreciate the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke**, one must understand the turbulent political landscape of 17th-century England. The country had experienced a civil war, the execution of Charles I, the republican experiment under Oliver Cromwell, and the restoration of the monarchy under Charles II. Religious and political tensions remained high, especially over the succession of the openly Catholic James II. When James was deposed in the Glorious Revolution of 1688 and replaced by William and Mary, Locke—who had been in exile in the Netherlands—returned to publish his treatises.

The *Second Treatise* provided a philosophical justification for the revolution. It argued that governments are not divine creations but human institutions formed by consent. If a ruler becomes tyrannical, the people have the right to resist and replace him. This was radical for its time, as it challenged the prevailing doctrine of the divine right of kings. Locke wrote with clarity and reason, making his ideas accessible to a literate public already weary of absolute rule.

Key Concepts in the Second Treatise

At the heart of the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** lie several interlocking concepts that form a coherent political philosophy. Understanding these ideas is essential for anyone studying political theory or the history of liberalism.

1. The State of Nature

Locke begins his argument by imagining a pre-political condition he calls the "state of nature." Unlike Thomas Hobbes, who depicted the state of nature as a war of all against all, Locke described it as a state of perfect freedom and equality, governed by natural law. In this state, individuals possess natural rights to life, liberty, and property. Importantly, these rights are not granted by any government; they are inherent to human beings as rational creatures. Locke writes that the state of nature has its own "law of nature," which is reason, and that "no one ought to harm another in his life, health, liberty, or possessions."

However, the state of nature is not idyllic. It lacks an established judge, known laws, and an executive power to enforce justice. Inconveniences arise when individuals are biased in their own cases or when disputes cannot be settled peacefully. To remedy these inconveniences, people voluntarily agree to form a society and establish a government. This leads to the social contract.

2. The Social Contract and Consent

For Locke, legitimate political authority arises only from the consent of the governed. The **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** famously argues that men enter into civil society by agreeing to unite for their mutual preservation and to give up their natural right to enforce the law of nature individually. This consent is not hypothetical—it is actual, either express or tacit. By living within a territory and benefiting from its laws, individuals implicitly consent to be bound by the government.

Locke's emphasis on consent is revolutionary. It means that no person is born subject to a particular ruler or government. Political authority is not patriarchal or hereditary; it is grounded in the agreement

of free and equal individuals. This idea directly undermines the claims of absolute monarchy and paves the way for representative democracy.

3. Property Rights and Labor

Perhaps no section of the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** is more famous than his chapter on property. Locke argues that in the state of nature, the earth and its resources are held in common. However, every individual has property in their own person—their labor is uniquely theirs. When a person mixes their labor with something from the common, they make it their own property. This “labor theory of property” justifies private ownership and provides a moral foundation for economic activity.

Locke includes important limitations: one may only appropriate as much as one can use before it spoils, and one must leave “enough and as good” for others. Later, with the introduction of money (which is durable and does not spoil), these limitations become less binding, leading to economic inequality. Nonetheless, Locke insists that the primary purpose of government is to protect property—broadly understood as life, liberty, and estate. This concept deeply influenced the founding fathers of the United States, who substituted “the pursuit of happiness” for property in the Declaration but kept Locke’s framework intact.

4. Limited Government and the Separation of Powers

Locke is often credited as an early advocate for the separation of powers. In the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke**, he distinguishes between three functions of government: the legislative, the executive, and the federative (which deals with foreign affairs). The legislative is the supreme power, but it is not absolute. It must be bound by the laws of nature and is limited to acting for the public good. Moreover, the legislative cannot transfer its lawmaking power to any other body—it is a trust to be held by representatives chosen by the people.

Locke also argues for the rule of law: no one, including the monarch or lawmakers, is above the established laws. Governments must operate through standing, promulgated laws, not through arbitrary decrees. And because power tends to corrupt, Locke advocates for a clear separation between the legislative and executive branches to prevent tyranny. This idea directly influenced Montesquieu and, later, the U.S. Constitution.

5. The Right to Revolution

Perhaps the most provocative aspect of the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** is his defence of the right to rebellion. Locke argues that when a government violates the trust placed in it—by acting arbitrarily, encroaching on property, or attempting to enslave the people—the government dissolves, and the people are free to establish a new one. This does not mean constant rebellion; Locke prescribes patience and appeals to the people’s judgment. But ultimately, if a ruler becomes tyrannical, the people have both the right and the duty to resist.

Locke’s justification of revolution provided moral ammunition for the American colonists and later for others seeking to overthrow oppressive regimes. The idea that rebellion is not a crime but a last resort for preserving liberty is a hallmark of Lockean thought and remains a powerful concept in modern politics.

Influence on Modern Political Systems

Few philosophical works have had as direct an impact on actual governance as the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke**. Its fingerprints are all over the American Declaration of Independence, which borrows Locke’s language of “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness” and his notion of the right to alter or abolish a destructive government. The U.S. Constitution’s separation of powers, federalism, and checks and balances reflect Lockean principles.

In France, Locke’s ideas inspired the Enlightenment philosophers who prepared the ground for the French Revolution. The Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (1789) echoes many Lockean themes: natural rights, consent of the governed, and resistance to oppression. Across the globe, constitutional democracies have incorporated Locke’s concepts of limited government, due process, and property rights into their legal frameworks.

Even critics of Locke—such as Karl Marx, who argued that Locke’s property theory justified bourgeois capitalism—acknowledge his profound influence. Feminists such as Carole Pateman have challenged Locke’s exclusion of women from the social contract, leading to important debates about the nature of consent and equality. Nonetheless, the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** remains a foundational text that any educated person must engage with to understand the roots of modern political liberty.

Common Misinterpretations and Criticisms

While Locke’s work is celebrated, it is not without flaws or misinterpretations. Some critics argue that Locke’s state of nature is a fictional device that assumes a highly individualistic view of human nature. Others point out that Locke owned shares in the slave-trading Royal African Company and wrote the Carolina constitution, which allowed slavery—a stark contradiction to his statements on human freedom.

This tension has led scholars to debate whether Locke’s principles can be reconciled with his personal actions.

Moreover, Locke’s labor theory of property has been used to justify colonial dispossession, as European settlers claimed that Indigenous peoples had not “mixed their labor” with the land enough to establish ownership. This is a troubling legacy that modern readers must confront. Nevertheless, many contemporary political philosophers argue that the core insights of the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke**—consent, natural rights, limited government—can be defended in more inclusive and egalitarian ways, free from the biases of Locke’s own time.

Why Read the Second Treatise Today?

In an era of rising authoritarianism, political polarization, and debates over the limits of government power, returning to the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** is more relevant than ever. Locke offers a reasoned, systematic defence of why government must be based on consent, why individual rights should not be sacrificed for security, and why citizens have a responsibility to hold their leaders accountable. His arguments remind us that political authority is never absolute and that the people are the ultimate source of legitimacy.

Reading Locke also helps us understand the philosophical underpinnings of many contemporary institutions: the rule of law, independent judiciaries, representative legislatures, and the protection of private property. Whether one agrees with Locke or not, grappling with his ideas sharpens one’s own political reasoning. For students, activists, politicians, and everyday citizens, the **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** is an indispensable guide to thinking critically about power, freedom, and the common good.

Conclusion: A Foundational Text for Liberal Democracy

The **Second Treatise Of Government By John Locke** is not simply a 300-year-old treatise—it is a living document whose arguments continue to shape our political world. From the American Revolution to contemporary human rights declarations, Locke’s ideas about natural rights, consent, property, and the right to revolution have proven remarkably resilient. While no political philosophy is perfect, and Locke’s own legacy is complicated by his complicity in slavery and colonialism, the core principles he articulated remain essential for any society that values freedom, equality, and justice under law.

As we navigate the challenges of the twenty-first century—digital surveillance, economic inequality, climate change, and threats to democratic norms—we would do well to remember the lessons of the *Second Treatise*. Governments are made for people, not the other way around. Power must be checked, rights must be protected, and the ultimate sovereign is the consent of the governed. For these timeless insights, John Locke’s masterpiece will always deserve a central place in the canon of political thought.