

Who Is Trimalchio In The Great Gatsby

The Shadow of Trimalchio: Unpacking the Key to Jay Gatsby's Soul

When F. Scott Fitzgerald first submitted the manuscript for what would become his masterpiece, he did not call it *The Great Gatsby*. He called it *Trimalchio*, later revising it to *Trimalchio in West Egg*. To the casual reader, this might seem like a curious, almost arbitrary choice. Yet, for Fitzgerald—a writer steeped in classical literature and the Jazz Age's obsession with spectacle—the name Trimalchio was the perfect, albeit raw, encapsulation of his protagonist. Understanding who Trimalchio is in *The Great Gatsby* is not merely a piece of literary trivia; it is the skeleton key that unlocks the novel's deepest critique of wealth, social performance, and the tragic pursuit of an impossible dream.

To answer the question, **who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby**, we must travel back nearly two thousand years before the green light on Daisy's dock. Trimalchio is a character from the *Satyricon*, a satirical Roman novel written by Petronius Arbiter during the reign of Emperor Nero. He is a freedman—a former slave—who has amassed an enormous fortune. To celebrate his wealth and, more importantly, to prove his status, he throws a dinner party of staggering, grotesque excess. This party is the central episode of the surviving text, and it is a masterpiece of literary satire. Trimalchio is vulgar, boastful, and deeply insecure. He craves the respect of the Roman elite, but his every action betrays his lowly origins. He is a parody of the *nouveau riche*, a man who has the money of a senator but the manners of a stable boy.

The Shocking Parallels: Trimalchio and Jay Gatsby

The connection between Petronius's ancient host and Fitzgerald's Jazz Age bootlegger is immediate and profound. When we ask **who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby**, the answer begins with the parties. Both men are defined by their lavish, chaotic gatherings. Trimalchio welcomes guests into a home where the ostentation is almost offensive. He serves exotic dishes—like a pig stuffed with live thrushes and a dish representing the zodiac signs—not for the pleasure of his guests, but to display his ability to procure the impossible. Gatsby's parties are the American equivalent. The crates of oranges and lemons, the orchestras, the army of caterers, the swimming pools, and the Rolls-Royce are all props in a theatrical production of wealth.

Consider the scene where Trimalchio has his shroud brought out and passed around to his guests so they can admire the quality of the fabric he will be buried in. This is a grotesque dance with death, a desperate attempt to control how he will be remembered. Gatsby stares at the green light, reaches for the past, and obsesses over his own funeral (which, tragically, almost no one attends). Both men are trying to build a monument to themselves that will outlast their physical presence. The parties are not just parties; they are bids for immortality.

Furthermore, both characters are surrounded by parasites and sycophants. Trimalchio's guests, like the freedmen who attend his feast, are there for the free food and entertainment, whispering about their host behind his back. Gatsby's guests are the "men and girls" who come and go like moths, swimming in his pool, drinking his liquor, and spreading rumors about his past (that he killed a man, that he was a German spy, that he was in the Oxford colleges). They do not care about Gatsby the man; they care about Gatsby the spectacle. This shallow, transactional nature of their social worlds is a core thematic link.

The Original Title: Why "Trimalchio in West Egg" Failed

The evolution of the title from *Trimalchio* to *The Great Gatsby* is one of the most fascinating stories in literary history. For a year, Fitzgerald wrestled with the title. He knew the parallel was essential, but he feared the reference was too obscure for the average reader. **Who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby** if no one has read the *Satyricon*? Fitzgerald believed the answer was: a brilliant secret. He wrote to his editor, Maxwell Perkins, that the title *Trimalchio* was "too obscure" and "not catchy."

Yet, the Trimalchio thread runs through the final text like a river of dark irony. In the earliest drafts, the parallels were even more explicit. The novel we know today is a refined version of that raw satire. By changing the title, Fitzgerald did not abandon Trimalchio; he hid him in plain sight. The name "Gatsby" itself, with its slangy, gutter-snipe sound, contrasts sharply with the romantic aspirations of the character. The reader is left with a split perception: the shimmering surface of "The Great Gatsby" and the grotesque, insecure underworld of "Trimalchio." This tension is the engine of the novel.

Fitzgerald's editor, Maxwell Perkins, argued for *The Great Gatsby*, suggesting it was more mysterious and evocative. Fitzgerald agreed, but he always felt a pang of loss. In a famous letter, he wrote: "The title 'Trimalchio' is the best I have, but it is too learned for the public." In the end, the author settled for a title that captured the myth, leaving the ancient critique to live beneath the surface.

Wealth as a Mask: The Insecurity of the Nouveau Riche

At its heart, the question of **who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby** is a question about the psychology of wealth. Both characters suffer from a profound, aching insecurity. Trimalchio was a slave. Gatsby was a poor farm boy from North Dakota named James Gatz. Both reinvent themselves through sheer will and illegal enterprise. Trimalchio's fortune likely came from dubious trade and usury. Gatsby's came from bootlegging, bond fraud, and the dark alleys of Meyer Wolfsheim's underworld.

This insecurity manifests in their relationship with objects. Trimalchio cannot simply have a cup; it must be a solid gold cup with the name of his favorite gladiator engraved on it. Gatsby cannot simply have a shirt; he must have a wardrobe of dozens of imported linen and silk shirts, which he hurls onto the bed in front of Daisy, almost crying as he does so. The objects are not useful; they are sacrificial. They are offered up to the gods of social status to prove worthiness.

Nick Carraway, the narrator, functions as the satirical eye, much like the narrator of the *Satyricon* (Encolpius). Nick is fascinated and repelled. He sees the absurdity of Gatsby's world—the "men in collars of enormous size," the "tragic" failure of the spectacle—but he is also drawn to the "extraordinary gift for hope" that lies beneath. This duality is the Trimalchio effect: we laugh at the vulgarity, but we are moved by the human need for connection that drives it.

The Emptiness of the Feast: A Shared Critique of Consumption

In both works, the party is a metaphor for the emptiness of a life dedicated solely to consumption. Trimalchio's feast is a parade of meaningless luxury. He has a wine steward dress up as the god Liber; he has a slave carve meat with surgical precision; he has a multi-course meal that is more theater than food. Yet, the guests remain largely unimpressed, and Trimalchio himself is deeply lonely. He weeps at the thought of his own death. He demands that a craftsman carve his tombstone with a detailed depiction of his entire life. It is a cry against oblivion.

Gatsby's parties are the same. The "foul dust" that floats in the wake of his dreams is the realization that the party is for one person only: Daisy Buchanan. The hundreds of guests are merely the scenery. When the party is over, Gatsby stands alone on the marble steps, looking out at the water. The music stops, the lights go out, and the silence is deafening. This is the Trimalchian tragedy: you can fill a house with people, but you cannot fill a heart with champagne.

The novel is a direct critique of the American Dream. Fitzgerald asks: what if the dream is not a noble quest for a better life, but a desperate, vulgar scramble for status? **Who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby?** He is the ghost at the feast of American success. He reminds us that wealth, without a soul, is just a pile of expensive garbage. The green light on the end of Daisy's dock is a beacon of hope, but it is also a mirage, leading Gatsby directly into the dust of the Valley of Ashes.

The Fate of the Pretender: Death and the Absurd

The final and most crucial parallel lies in the nature of their deaths. Trimalchio's death is not depicted in the surviving text, but the preparation for it is. He has a grand tomb built, complete with a statue of himself and a list of his accomplishments. He rehearses his own funeral, playing the music and wearing the shroud. It is a grotesque comedy, an attempt to control the uncontrollable.

Gatsby's death is the tragic fulfillment of this absurdity. He dies in his own swimming pool, a victim of a mistaken identity. He is shot by George Wilson, who believes Gatsby killed Myrtle. The irony is shattering. The man who threw the most famous parties in West Egg dies alone, floating on a raft, waiting for a phone call from Daisy that will never come. No one attends his funeral except Nick, his father, and "Owl Eyes"—a man who once marveled at the fact that Gatsby's books were real.

The absence at the funeral is the ultimate satirical punchline. The moths have moved on to the next light. The "man who lived at West Egg" is just news. In the end, the answer to **who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby** is a ghost. He is the embodiment of a life built on illusion. Fitzgerald is suggesting that the American Dream, when pursued purely for wealth and status, is a form of ancient tragedy. The excess of the 1920s was a party, and like all parties, it had to end. The hangover was the novel itself.

The Enduring Power of the Allusion

Why does this ancient reference still matter? Because the archetype of Trimalchio is timeless. We live in a world of influencers, reality TV stars, and social media millionaires who perform wealth for an audience. The "flex" is a modern version of the silver tray. The desire for likes is the desire for a chorus of admirers. The question **who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby** forces us to look at our own culture of consumption. Are we the guests at the feast, or are we the host trying to impress?

Fitzgerald was a master of the one-line summary. He wrote that Gatsby was the "greatest fool" he had ever known, but also that the "fool" was a "great man." That contradiction is Trimalchio. He is a fool for believing that money could buy love, but he is great for having the courage to try. The allusion adds a layer of classical gravitas to a Jazz Age drama. It tells us that the story of Jay Gatsby is not just a story of the 1920s. It is a story as old as civilization itself: the story of the man who tried to buy his way into paradise and found only the dust of the desert.

In the final line of the novel, Nick Carraway reflects that we "beat on, boats against the current, borne back ceaselessly into the past." That is the Trimalchian curse. We cannot escape where we came from. We cannot outrun our origins. The green light recedes. The feast ends. The shroud is waiting. And the man who tried to be a god is left with the memory of a dream, a single kiss, and a pool of silent, still water.

Conclusion

So, **who is Trimalchio in The Great Gatsby?** He is the painful, beating heart of the novel. He is the clue that Fitzgerald left for the careful reader—a whisper from ancient Rome that elevates a story of bootlegging and romance into a timeless meditation on the human condition. Trimalchio is Gatsby's shadow self: the vulgar, insecure, desperately lonely man hiding behind